Annual Science Days 2024, 10-14 June, 2024 Vilnius, Lithuania (LT) More than a Dialogue between actors, seeking the integration of soil-based principles in agroecological systems



EJP SOIL has received funding from the Europear Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme: Grant agreement No 862695



PIALO GG T

PARTNERS:

- Turkyie: General Directorate of Agricultural Research and Policies (TAGEM)
- Latvia: University of Latvia (UL)
- Lithuania: Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry (LAMMC)
- Czech Republic: University of Life Sciences Prague (CZU)
- Poland: Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation (IUNG)
- Italy: CREA (Council for Agricultural Research and Economics); CNR (National Research Council)
- Spain: CSIC





RELEVANCE OF THE RESEARCH PROPOSAL

The implementation of <u>agroecological approaches</u> is currently **relatively limited to pioneering farmers and farmer associations** in the EJP-Soil countries (including Turkyie); and despite support for sustainable **PRACTICES** through SECTORIAL policies, <u>the implementation of sustainable agroecological SYSTEMS</u> is **NOT really ADDRESSED at the EU level.**

The Into-DIALOGUE project investigated the reason why the **conjunction** of various **practices**, which farmers are already selectively implementing, in a systemic strategy at the **FARM or LANDSCAPE SCALE** is difficult to realize.

Does it depend on:

- the ecological identity of the farmers?
- the characteristics of the farms?
- the slowness in the development of policies and measures?





WP1 – METHODS, APPROACHES AND FINDINGS

Agrarian landscape characterization and cartography

Task 1.1- Methodology for the selection and evaluation of indicators for the characterization of the attributes that can affect the application of soil-based agroecological measures

Distribution of agricultural land

- Land size / farm size / number of farms
- Agricultural area distribution of Eurostat crops •
- Distribution of tillage practices of arable land
- Distribution of farmer's gender and age
- Analysis of CAP subsidies and budget

Agrarian landscape characterization

- Soil types/texture
- Soil organic carbon
- Land use/cover
- Crop selection
- Land use/cover and crop's impact on soil health

Task 1.2- Socio-economic analysis of farms applying agroecological soil-based practices - Case studies.







WP2 – METHODS, APPROACHES AND FINDINGS

Farmers' vision to achieve agroecological systems

Task2.1-Comprehensiveliterature review on existing data

A systematic review (SR) was done to understand the **relations** between **agroecology, soil health indicators** in LTE regarding from 2018 to 2022. The main agronomic practices and soil health parameters studied in relation to soil health in LTE were respectively:

- · Organic amendment (OA) (35%)
- Cover crops (CC) (22%)
- · Reduce Tillage (RT) (33%)
- Intercropping (IC) (10%)

- Soil Organic Matter is the parameters most studied (51%),
- Soil Biota is the component less studied (6%).

The results showed also that there is an **emergent need** to establish a **tool** to including physical chemical and biological properties useful **to assess soil health at farm scale**. No farmer opinion were considered in soil focused research.





WP3 – METHODS, APPROACHES AND FINDINGS

Farmers' ecological identity



Task 3.3 - Drivers and barriers for farmers' acceptability of soil-based agroecological management practices

In the questionnaire that is prepared to recognize the ecological identity of farmers, questions have been included that allow knowing the drivers and barriers that farmers encounter to implement sustainable practices.



Data from Czechia:



WP4 – METHODS, APPROACHES AND FINDINGS

Participatory initiatives in the formulation of policies

Task4.1-Analysis ofregional, national, EU andTurkishlaws, andcompetenciestoincorporatesoil-basedprinciples into their laws

Task 4.2 -Participatoryprocessestofarmers in the formulationofpoliciesonsoil-basedSustainableAgroecologicalSystems



Method Macro level Strategy and policy development (Enactment) Meso level

Operational rules, monitoring and retribution (Enforcement)

Micro level Adaptation processes (Responsiveness)

PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION THEORY

Overall performances of

soil health challenges

strategies and policies addressing

Method







Results

Macro level

 Lack of efficacy of the EU soil-health initiatives because of their poor influence on key policies under the primary control of local governments (i.e., limited effort to counter land grabbing in Balkan areas, limited effort to facilitate access to land for small and young farmers in IT).

Meso level

- Great arbitrariness in translating the EU CAP regulation into National Strategi Plans, including the definition of conditionality requirements (e.g., GAEC 2 poorly defined in Baltic regions, GAEC 7 poorly defined in all MS).
- Not adequate enforcement of environmental regulations (Infringments releted to the WFD and the Nitrate directive where mainly found in southern EU, while infringements related to the Habitat directive in Baltic and Balkan regions).



KEY FINDINGS

- The lack of public support and the absence of environmental regulations together with lack of coordination contribute to facilitating the overexploitation of agricultural soils in the TR study region.
- the abandonment of livestock farming in the EU study regions contributes to specialisation and dependence on mineral fertilisers, while the lack of young family members undermines the future of the agricultural sector.
- Lack of dialogue between farmers' representatives and policy-makers allows to enact measures that are not consistent with contingent environmental problems and incompatible with existing market conditions.
- Public support is considered essential to enable farmers owning small farms to purchase the necessary equipment and to accompany the correct implementation of the required practices.
- Extension services and demonstration fields are seen as essential to guarantee the transition towards sustainable agroecological systems in all regions involved in the study.





Thank you very much for your attention

akinun@gmail.com, saddamkalkan@gmail.com, abdulkadir.bal@tarimorman.gov.tr, gabriele.buttafuoco@cnr.it, anita.maienza@ibe.cnr.it, fabio.castaldi@ibe.cnr.it, erica.lumini@ipsp.cnr.it, sara.dilonardo@cnr.it, gherardo.biancofiore@ibe.cnr.it, monika.vilkiene@lammc.lt, diana.lukmine@lammc.lt, ieva.mockeviciene@lammc.lt, valda.araminiene@lammc.lt, jerzy.grabinski@iung.pulawy.pl, martinpisarcik22@gmail.com, hakl@af.czu.cz, fuksa@af.czu.cz, fuksova.zuzana@uzei.cz, xkovm034@studenti.czu.cz, giovanni.daraguccione@crea.gov.it, francesca.varia@crea.gov.it, andrea.martelli@crea.gov.it, francesco.galioto@crea.gov.it, mvalentina.lasorella@crea.gov.it, m.cariello@politicheagricole.it, raimonds.kasparinskis@lu.lv, baiba.dirnena@lu.lv, kristine.afanasjeva@lu.lv, imants.kukuls@lu.lv, olgerts.nikodemus@lu.lv, javier.renovell@csic.es, sabina.asins@uv.es

