



E. Haas



M. Kittinger

Policy measures effectively reduce soil nitrous oxide emissions with minor trade-offs in crop yield

C. Foldal

Sophie Zechmeister-Boltenstern¹,

Cecilie Birgitte Foldal¹, Martina Kittinger¹ & Edwin Haas²

¹University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria

²Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research (IMK-IFU), Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany

Science for a cooler future







Why is N₂O so important?



 N_2O has the strongest radiative forcing of all greenhouse gases.

 N_2O comes mainly from soils and its increase is related to N fertilizer use.

The Good News: Theoretically, according to the GHG inventories, anthropogenic soil N₂O emissions in the European Union have decreased by 17% since the 1990s (EEA, 2022).

 $(\cdot \cdot)$



European Green Deal: Farm to Fork Strategy



reduce nutrient losses by at least
50%, while ensuring no
deterioration on soil fertility.

✓ reduce fertilizer use by at least
20% by 2030.

Organic farming is an

environmentally-friendly practice that needs to be further developed.

The European Commission will boost the development of EU organic farming area with the aim to achieve 25% of total farmland under organic farming by 2030.

(Austria: already 27 %)





Research Questions



As part of the European Agricultural Funds for Rural Development (EAFRD), Austria introduced an agrienvironmental program (ÖPUL) to enhance organic farming and, as part of the implementation of the Nitrate Directive (EC, 1991), incentives to reduce N fertilisation rates in 1992. These objectives remain central to the current Austrian EAFRD funds, effective from January 2023.

Therefore we wanted to know

Organic farms have higher SOC, which could promote higher N₂O emissions

Do reduced N fertilisation and organic farming,

- 1) have a positive effect on soil N₂O mitigation?
- 2) have a negative effect on yields?
- 3) have a negative effect on soil N stocks and hence soil fertility?

Landscape scale DeNitrification-DeComposition model

Modeling can refine national greenhouse gas inventories and detect hotspots of N losses.





Dataflux of LandscapeDNDC for site/regional input: 80 Parameters modelled

Crops

Haas, Klatt, Fröhlich, Kraft, Werner, Kiese, Grote, Breuer, Butterbach-Bahl, Landscape Ecol (2013) 28: 615-636.

Material and Methods







Conventional crop rotation setup

| | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|------|----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | + + | +++ | ++ | +++ | + + | + + | +++ | +++ | ++ | + + |
| CR1 | BARL | wwis | WBAR | wwis co | CORN | CORN | wwis v | ywis v | WRAPE CC | CORN |
| CR2 | WWIS | WBAR | wwis cc | CORN | CORN | wwis v | wis N | WRAPE CC | CORN | SOY |
| CR3 | WBAR | wwis cc | CORN | CORN | wwis v | WIS | WRAPE CC | CORN | SOY V | vbar www |
| CR4 | WWIS CC | CORN | CORN | wwis w | wis N | WRAPE CC | CORN | SOY | WBAR | WWIS WBAR |
| CR5 | CORN | CORN | wwis v | vwis v | WRAPE CC | CORN | SOY | WBAR | wwis w | BAR CC |
| CR6 | CORN | wwis N | wwis | WRAPE CC | CORN | SOY | WBAR | wwis w | BAR CC | CORN |
| CR7 | WBAR | WWIS | WRAPE C | CC CORN | SOY | WBAR | WWIS | WBAR CC | CORN | CORN |
| CR8 | WWIS | WRAPE C | CORN | SOY | WBAR | wwis | WBAR CC | CORN | CORN | BARL |
| CR9 | WRAPE CC | CORN | SOY | WBAR | wwis w | BAR CC | CORN | CORN | BARL | WWIS |
| CR10 | CORN | SOY | WBAR | wwis w | BAR CC | CORN | CORN | BARL | wwis v | VBAR |
| CR11 | SOY | WBAR | wwis w | VBAR CC | CORN | CORN | BARL | wwis v | VBAR | wwis |
| CR12 | WBAR | WWIS | WBAR CC | CORN | CORN | BARL | WWIS | WBAR | WWIS CO | CORN |
| CR13 | WWIS | WBAR CC | CORN | CORN | BARL | WWIS | WBAR | wwis co | CORN | CORN |
| CR14 | WBAR CC | CORN | CORN | BARL | WWIS | WBAR | wwis co | CORN | CORN | WWIS |
| CR15 | CORN | CORN | BARL | WWIS | WBAR | wwis co | CORN | CORN | WWIS | WBAR |
| CR16 | CORN | BARL | wwis | WBAR | wwis co | CORN | CORN | WWIS | WBAR | WRAPE CC |

BARL = summer barley; CORN = corn; WWIS = winter wheat; WBAR= winter barley; WRAPE= winter rape; SOY = soy beans; CC = catch crops (mustard);

16 – 35 crop rotations were modelled per region for each year and each soil type. Individual management steps (e.g. tillage, seeding, fertilizer application, etc. of all crops) were compared with daily weather data and adjusted within a timespan (+/-1-14 days) given by regional farm advisors.





Uncertainty Analysis

Illustration of the temporal dynamics of cumulative sums of soil N₂O emissions for the site in Grieskirchen; red line: mean of N_{max} baseline simulation, grey lines: realization of the 500 parameter samples, black line: median, blue lines: quantile ranges Q25 – Q75, purple lines: quantiles Q10 – Q90 of the 500 simulation results.



Uncertainty range -30.8% to +50.8% shows that our modelled data are robust (more than IPCC EF: uncertainty -66% to +200%)

Overall result distribution of annual N_2O emissions for Grieskirchen (GK), Oststeirisches Huegelland (OH) and Marchfeld (MF)



N₂O reduction potential

Reducing nitrogen fertilisation by 15% reduced N_2O emissions by, on average **22%**.

Reducing nitrogen fertilisation by 25% reduced N_2O emissions by **39%.**

Organic farming reduced emissions by **60%**.

N₂O emission reduction potential was the greatest in regions and crops (corn, vegetables) with the highest emissions.

N-budgets with different agrienvironmental measures



Yields are only slightly reduced by fertilizer reduction. Reducing nitrogen fertilisation by 15% and 25% the yield was reduced by 5% and 9%, respectively.

In the organic cropping system yield was declined on average by 23%.

The overall N-budgets are positive: Soil fertility is retained.

In organic systems, the nitrogen use efficiency was best: >67% of N output was found in crop yields and little N was lost.



Do reduced N fertilisation and organic farming



2. negatively affect yields?



- Yes: A 25% reduction in Nfertilization resulted in a 39% reduction of N₂O emissions.
- Slightly: A 39% reduction of N₂O emissions, was accompanied with a 9% reduction in yield.



• •

3. No: N-balances were still

positive: only for organic farming in pure cropland regions it can become critical in the long-term.











Received: 30 October 2023 Revised: 31 January 2024 Accepted: 1 March 2024

DOI: 10.1111/ejss.13475

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Policy measures effectively reduce soil nitrous oxide emissions with minor trade-offs in crop yield

Cecilie Birgitte Foldal^{1,2} | Martina Kittinger¹ | Edwin Haas³ | Sophie Zechmeister-Boltenstern¹



Thank you for your aftention!